

Mar 20 03 11:32a

P.2

1 1) The Petitioner submits a small entity Petition fee of \$650.00 pursuant to 37  
2 CFR 1.17(I).

3 2) The Petitioner submits a response to the Examiner's Action of August 16,  
4 2000.


5 3) the Petitioner asserts that there is no terminal disclaimer required as this  
6 application was filed on or after June 8, 1995.

7 4) the Petitioner submits Petitioner's statement that the entire delay was  
8 unintentional. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the  
9 required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37CFR 1.137(b) was  
10 unintentional.

11  
12 March 20, 2003

Max V. Weiss

13  
14 March 20, 2003

  
Terry J. Cullinan

15  
16 **RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S ACTION OF AUGUST 16, 2000**

17 The following substitute specification for application 09/041,685, entitled A BIO-  
18 REACTIVE GREASE AND OIL SEPARATOR, is submitted. The substitute  
19 specification, the claim amendments and the remarks are responsive to the Final Office  
20 Action dated August 16, 2000 wherein the Examiner states that the substitute  
21 specification filed April 11, 2000 has not been entered because it does not conform to  
22 MPEP 608.01(q) which requires the submission of a marked-up copy which shows  
23 additions to and/or deletions from the original specification and that said proffered  
24 substitute specification does not conform to 35 CFR 1.125 which requires that applicants  
25 certify that there is no new matter, and moreover, the specification is not entered because  
26

27 Certificate of facsimile filing  
28 on March 18, 2003 by Floyd E. Ivey.

Application No. 09/041,685

Mar-20-03 13:16 Weiss, search

406 8 2283

P.01

1) The Petitioner submits a small entry Petition fee of \$650.00 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17(l).

2) The Petitioner submits a response to the Examiner's Action of August 16, 2000 in the form of a Continued Prosecution Application.

3) the Petitioner asserts that there is no terminal disclaimer required as this application was filed on or after June 8, 1995.

4) the Petitioner submits Petitioner's statement that the entire delay was unintentional. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

March 18, 2003  
March 18, 2003

Max V. Weiss  
Max V. Weiss

### CONTINUED PROSECUTION APPLICATION

The following Continued Prosecution Application of application 09/041,685, entitled A BIO-REACTIVE GREASE AND OIL SEPARATOR, is submitted. The amendment and the remarks are responsive to the Final Office Action dated August 16, 2000 wherein the Examiner states that the substitute specification filed April 11, 2000 has not been entered because it does not conform to MPEP 608.01(q) which requires the submission of a marked-up copy which shows additions to and/or deletions from the original specification and that said proffered substitute specification does not conform to 35 CFR 1.125 which requires that applicants certify that there is no new matter, and moreover, the specification is not entered because it is replete with new matter.

Your applicant submits the following as a substitute specification flowing from the reordering of that which was submitted as the original application. This Continued

Certificate of facsimile filing  
on March 18, 2003 by Floyd E. Ivey.

Application No. 09/041,685